

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Do not move General Any Bull ANY WEAPON to Unlimited. It's already very dangerous with how many people hunt the South/North Slope. Perhaps make a quota or limit on that South/North Slope area. Please do not change the disabled / youth programs. Please allow the disabled to hunt the multi season elk

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I am for all recommendations, except the unlimited any bull tags. Instead, let's look a little closer at Brandon Zundel's recommendations for the Archery Any Bull units. Extending the hunt dates to go through September will provide more opportunity, increase encounters, slightly increase success, and deliver an overall better hunting experience.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I support the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Making unlimited elk tags is total [REDACTED] It's a total money scam. There's already 15000 [REDACTED] on the mountain during the general season any bull hunt. Let's just put 100000 [REDACTED] up there and kill off the elk. And making Anthro a general unit is a joke that unit still produces some decent bulls if you know where your hunting. The guy who bought the tag from SFW paid \$17000 for that tag this year.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Unlimited rifle elk tags is not a solution to the failure of your online tag sales. I'm sure you heard many complaints about that so an increase seems somewhat justified but still don't agree with it. How about as biologist you guys make decisions that are best for the herds while also presenting opportunities! If Utah's division of wildlife wasn't solely funded by tag sales this wouldn't look as ridiculous. Make decisions based on the animals. Saying it won't affect the herd is a joke. The increase in pressure alone will have an impact on the herds. If you wouldn't do this for mule deer please please don't do it for elk. There is an unlimited season already with archery. Change that season to September and it will be an enjoyable elk hunt that kids and families can enjoy. Otherwise get your tag before they sale out. Please don't make the wrong decision again because it never goes back. Just like the spike hunt doesn't actually help bull to cow ratio but you can't get rid of it because people "like it" even though it has a negative impact on the limited entry hunters.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I strongly oppose the unlimited any bulk elk permits. This will destroy what the state has worked so hard to achieve. Our elk is one thing Utah has done right over the last 3 decades. So to sell unlimited permits will destroy all this work. Then to take some limited entry hunts and turn them into general hunts is really poor thinking. The spike hunts have really hurt the limited units. I've had the opportunity to spend time on these units it's sad we have made these units decline. Our general elk hunt is one thing that the state has done right. This will take years to repair the damage that this does, for our future generations please do not let this happen.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

This is a terrible idea!! The elk herd is doing ok not great and your solution is to make tags unlimited?
Please do not do this. I enjoy this hunt but it is already over run with hunters.
The only change that should be made is to make it a 5" brow tune or 4 point minimum for a legal bull.
Please stop killing off all our animals.
End spike hunts as well.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Tags need cut across the entire northeastern region. Deer age and numbers a terrible.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I do not support selling unlimited tags for any bull elk. The hills will be flooded with people taking away from the experience for all hunters having to fight for area to hunt and push the elk out of areas where they would be normally. All to sell more tags? Is it all about money?

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Why are we opening up the Nine Mile Anthro unit to a open bull unit? This is crazy. The cow and spike hunts have ruined this unit. So your answer is to wipe out what bulls are left?! Why not shut down the unit down completely and give it time to become a trophy unit again? The cattleman and the Ute Tribe don't speak for the rest of us. Our voices need to be heard too. I have spent the the past 20 years on this unit and it has been so sad to watch in decline year after year. Let's make a step in the right direction for these animals.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Living and working in natural resources in multiple western states. I have seen first hand the impact of unlimited bull tags. Its sad cause its not about "better opportunity" its about making more money for the DWR. If you wanted better, more opportunities for your hunters, then get rid of the spike hunt which has killed off future trophy bulls and general bulls. This would increase your bulls that can be hunted and make the unlimited bull hunts better and more sustainable. There is a reason Utah is not favored for hunting compared to other western state. Look at Colorado, no spike hunts. Other states do this as well. More bulls would equal more successful bull hunts.

I could support everything else but the unlimited bull tags, unless you got rid of the spike hunts.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

All this accomplishes is more low quality of hunting just the same as it is on the North Slope. Why not go to a similar model that you do the Deer hunts. Abandon the limited entry concept and break the units up appropriately. This would create both quality and opportunity. You can not tell me that some limited entry units could not be broken up and managed different. You would still have opportunity areas with lower success and trophy units with higher success. Let the people choose. I also do not get why you guys are basing your general season areas off of private land. This does no good for the public.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

18 units decrease the population why? If it's wintering grounds and habitat why not make companies who get rich off the herds of Utah by some of the winter ground like SFW

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

The public ground on the Chalk creek unit is highly over grazed

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Decreasing the herd is not necessary in this area. With the dollar ridge fire the whole range south of Fruitland is an Ample area for the state to take advantage of the vast majority of land. re seeding and water guzzlers would serve everything above fruitland great for both deer and elk as well as moose. I have seen the current creek area come back with deer and you guys want to eliminate more of the population. Again this area could be a very successful area for the DWR if managed appropriately.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations?

I have a very high concern about how some of the CWMU operators treat the public hunter. I also witnessed a paying customer on the two bear unit hunting the whitney reservoir area during their moose hunt. I think the standards need to be raised with the CWMU operators. I drew the east fork Chalk creek deer tag in 2018 and had a great experience with Cal Haskel

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

We do not need to have unlimited any bull elk permits. There are already too many permits handed out each year and the field is full of hunters. Limiting the amount of hunts, or being unit specific is a better alternative.

I do feel the later hunt dates for archery hunters and the archery September hunts are an excellent idea to allow more archery hunters to hunt during the rut.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I made the decision to switch to the muzzleloader hunt almost 10 years ago to get away from the crowds. I am already opposed to the addition of the multi season tags for general season elk. This increased the crowding substantially for both rifle and muzzleloader. If the general season any bull switches to unlimited this hunt will be a orange circus on the mountain. Mr. Jones highlighted that they want families to be able to go hunting. The problem is they don't hunt, they just drive their ATV's allover, all-day. Both the multi season and the proposed unlimited tags are leaps in the wrong direction for hunter satisfaction. The one opportunity I see to increase opportunity is lengthen the muzzleloader hunt to close on Sunday rather than Thursday to give them 2 weekends.
Thank You.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

This is a state wide theme. "we can't meet the target so lower the target" I wish by boss would accept that answer.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

I do not agree with permanent blinds in the marsh unless it is only for disabled/handicap use. I think this will cause problems between hunters in the marsh.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I do not agree with giving unlimited general bull elk tags. This is already a tough hunt to be successful and adding additional hunters to the landscape would make it even tougher. Keep the rifle and muzzy elk tags limited

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I oppose the change to the age management on the Bookcliffs Little Creek unit. This unit is Utah's only true backcountry pack in horseback, sleep in wall tent, traditional elk hunt. This is a hunt that is the true elk hunt in many peoples dreams. This hunt has had a different age than the rest of the Bookcliffs for years and to make a change now, is simply a dash of water on the fire for a dream of a prized hunt. Raise the Bittercreek south portion instead of lowering the Littlecreek portion if there is a need for uniformity. The Bookcliffs elk are struggling and having a few extra bulls on the entire Bookcliffs can only help. The spike elk hunt has severely hurt the Bookcliffs as is. Do the right thing and manage for quality on Utah's only traditional hunt for elk.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

If you have any chance at hitting the management plan objective for Bookcliffs deer, the buck tags need to be decreased.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

You need to decrease buck tags on the Bookcliffs if you have any hopes of meeting herd objective. There are too many tags for the units. Decrease tags and try to grow the herd.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I strongly disagree with unlimited elk tags!

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

For [REDACTED] sakes, if you want to know which proposals we want to give feedback on you should give us a chance to choose answers for each proposal. For this reason I oppose all of these proposals.

The only proposal I do approve on is a September archery hunt.

[REDACTED] no to unlimited OTC Elk tags

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I support the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I support all of the proposed changes except for an unlimited amount of general season elk tags being sold. I strongly oppose that motion because I don't feel like elk populations are high enough to support that many tags while maintaining quality hunting. I'd also like to see elk numbers climb up a lot closer to deer numbers. The ONLY way I would accept unlimited general bull tags is if we got rid of cow hunts. We manage mule deer without doe hunts and I think elk would be better the same way. It would also give the elk a better chance to repopulate even with an increase in number of bulls harvested as long as bull to cow coverage during the rut is adequate.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I support the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Making 9 mile elk a general unit is a terrible idea. I would like to see bull to cow numbers on this unit and have it explained why this unit is going to be a general unit. You mention that there is no way we will kill all the elk because there is private and ute tribe lands for the elk to get away from the hunters and that is exactly what will happen with this unit. I hear of farmers already complaining about elk but now instead of having 8 archery hunters casing elk you will have 1000 chasing where do you think the elk will be. I'll tell you where they will be. They will be in farmers fields and on tribal grounds. I wonder if the dwr is getting a kick back from the tribe to start this hunt. I have lived and hunted Anthro for years and the herd has went down hill bad and now the dwr wants to kill or push every elk off this unit. This is a joke. I hunted this unit with a friend this year and this is by far the worst this unit has ever looked. You talk about opportunity and I archery hunt. I should be drawing anthro archery next year and that is my only chance at hunting a limited elk hunt ever. Changing this would force me to put in for another unit that will take me at least 10 more years to draw. Maybe you should separate the state in more units, shorten the seasons and let people make the option of waiting for a great tag or draw every couple years and hunt a tough unit but make people only be able to put in for one hunt. This proposal of making more general hunts is a joke and I do not like it. I think this all about money and utah needs to put wildlife before the mighty dollar. Almost every body I talk to would rather hunt every couple years and have a good hunt than hunt every year, donate money to dwr and not see a thing. Maybe that is what should be proposed. The future of hunting utah is not looking good.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Riley Peck's
presentation about the Central Region
mule deer unit plans:**

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Teresa Griffin's
presentation about the Southern Region
mule deer unit plans:**

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Guy Wallace's
presentation about the Southeastern
Region mule deer unit plans:**

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

The unlimited any weapon elk tag is a terrible management plan. This is counterintuitive to the last management plan. And counterintuitive to the ideas of having wilderness areas for the elk to hide. Keep the numbers of tags capped to reduce the amount of people in the wilderness areas. Please consider limiting the amount of any weapon bull elk tags. There needs to be a cap on out of state sales on any weapon bull elk tags also. Provide the opportunity to in state local residents only.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?

Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?

Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

Is Utah that money hungry they are willing to destroy our elk herds?

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I strongly disagree with the plan of having an unlimited quota of general season elk tags. I do not want to see the elk herd go down any more than it is. Many people struggle to even see an elk on the general season hunts which I feel is driving some away from hunting. As for the increased opportunity, it is already there with the archery hunt. I think the best method to increase opportunity for more people is to go away from the 3 season tag. The reason behind that is it is taking tags away from some that are rifle hunters and giving them to archery hunters where tag numbers are unlimited (I am a bow hunter, but understand the frustration from the rifle hunters on this). Lastly I think there should be more effort put into making the hunts more enjoyable by increasing elk populations rather making it less enjoyable and more stressful by allowing more hunters afield.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

I think more effort should go into range management to increase the health of the herd rather than decreasing the amount of animals. If the population objective is reduced I would love to see that managed with Doe hunts.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

I think more effort should go into range management to increase the health of the herd rather than decreasing the amount of animals. If the population objective is reduced I would love to see that managed with Doe hunts.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?

I think more effort should go into range management to increase the health of the herd rather than decreasing the amount of animals. If the population objective is reduced I would love to see that managed with Doe hunts.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?

I am for the increase in buck to doe numbers. As for reducing the herd size, if that is a necessity then I would like to see that happen in the way of additional doe hunts.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I agree with the new season dates for the various weapons. These are great changes. However, I do not agree with unlimited general bull elk tags. These recommendations do not address the huge problem/opportunity that exists with private landowners trying to manage growing elk herds. The more hunters you put on the mountain the more elk learn to stay on private ground. I have suggested a longer rifle season on private property. The season could start September 1st and run through the last day of the general elk season. This would give private landowners the opportunity to sell access to public hunters to help recover the costs being incurred by elk herds in lost feed and fence damage. Most of these situations involve elk herds that are primarily residing on tribal grounds. Please enact this option for private landowners.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Need to do whatever it takes to get deer numbers up. I think it has more to do with growing elk populations than anything.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations?

Ensign Ranch should not be getting any more permits nor should they be renewed. I have submitted a formal complaint on how poorly they handled my mothers elk tag this year.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

The three seasons tag needs to be gotten rid of. The general rifle elk hunt and muzzle loader elk hunts only get one chance to get fill their tags.. Tags need to be capped you have turned the elk hunts into a total █ show. To many people hunting not enough elk. Archery needs to be done away with also to many people wound animals and don't bother to find them.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

No unlimited OTC. Everyone can see this is a bad idea. You know it and so do we. Raise tag prices if money is needed. It'll pass no matter how many hunters disagree unfortunately.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

How about limiting ranchers ability to destroy mule deer habitat instead

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

Less ranching

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

How about limiting ranchers ability to destroy mule deer habitat instead

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?

How about limiting ranchers ability to destroy mule deer habitat instead

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

November 2020 RAC Feedback
November 6, 2020 5:57 am

**After viewing the waterfowl
recommendations and R657-9
amendments:**

I support the recommendations and amendments.

**After viewing the 2021 big game
proposals and amendments:**

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I don't agree with the proposal for unlimited tags on general bull units. There were various reasons to limit deer tags years ago, but I still remember when those tags were unlimited. I understand the need for DWR to make more money to support itself but this is not the answer. There is only so much that can be done in that regard. I have actually already seen a change in the quality of my general season elk hunt after the three season tags began a few years ago. Now all I see is people in the areas I hunt and hardly an elk. I can only imagine what the unlimited tags will be like but I'm sure it will change the areas I hunt, and my hunt experience, forever. Before you did the three season tag in the area I hunt, the hunt was fantastic. If you think people are complaining about DWRs management efforts now, which they do to me all the time; just wait until that family you are talking about hunts elk for ten days on a general unit and doesn't even see a bull. And, you don't have to have a tag to enjoy the experience. I see families all the time that are out tagging along with the few in their group that have tags.

I would be fine if all the elk hunts were draw only and I only got to hunt every few years if that meant not so many people and bigger herds. There are other things to hunt if DWR is looking to be sure to give our growing population the opportunity (ducks for example). Minus the three season tag, it seems DWR is doing really good managing the elk so why mess it up?

And one last gripe. Why does DWR, as of late, use the Uinta Basin as the answer to resolve the growing hunter population issue. If you make the nine mile anthro unit general season then I think it will push all the elk onto private property and all it will have are small bulls. It really seems that unit has been managed to kill the whole elk population anyway so this will only help that effort. Didn't DWR create the landowner private property general tags to drive elk back onto public property to appease the ranchers? Now you want to push them back to private property? There won't be anything left!

This decision (tag numbers) really does make it look like money is the driving force and maybe it is. I would support raising tag prices as a means for DWR to make more money if that is really the issue. But not too high as to eliminate the average wage earner in the state. It costs more money to fill my truck with fuel than it does to buy an elk tag. Even a small cart of groceries costs more than a tag. The tag is always the cheapest part of my hunt.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Where have all the deer gone? At least where I live and the areas I hunt (south slope of the Uintas and western uinta basin) the population seems to have disappeared. We don't see many deer anywhere we used to see them in big numbers, except the tabby mountain area.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I strongly oppose this proposal. I think this is a terrible idea and purely a money grab by the division. Very disappointed that they'd even try to do this. I think we are going down a path that will decimate the elk population. I already see it happening. The new multi season tag is also a terrible idea. We hunt and pressure elk from August to January. Then we shed hunt pressure them from March to May. Our herd isn't doing well at all and I've noticed. Hunters are becoming advanced with their killing tactics. People are shooting muzzleloader a at 700 yards and killing animals. It's insane. I can't believe the amount of hunters I saw this year in all 3 seasons. Never have I seen anything like it. The division issues far too many cow tags as well. Again, I see the numbers being decimated. All for what? You say opportunity? I say it's for the money. I don't like it and vote a strong no!

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I'm not sure where to even begin, but the UDWR is becoming a joke. I'm all for as much opportunity as possible for the public land and OTC hunter, but to sell unlimited any bull permits??? Let me be one of the many to remind you that your job is to manage elk herds in a healthy and sustainable way, not find a way to make more money and profit off their demise. If we're hurting for money needed for PROPER conservation initiatives and management goals, then by all means raise the price of our tags and licenses. Don't try to tell us that you're wanting to sell unlimited permits to provide hunting opportunity for the increasing HUMAN population in the state, because if that is really the case, you need to reassess your priorities or find a new job. If there was an overabundance of elk then maybe we could get on board. You say you want to provide opportunity, but what about the quality of a hunt? I would rather hunt elk every other year or so and have a quality hunt than be out in the woods with an unlimited number of other hunters. This is not a plan to recruit new hunters or retain veteran hunters. This is not a plan to manage a healthy elk population. This is a money grab and poor management at it's worst yet. Please reconsider this proposal and DO NOT make this change.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Please don't make general elk tags unlimited!
The majority of hunters show up in the area north of vernal and Roosevelt. Please go to the same requirement for a legal bull that Colorado has. It will increase herd size and there will still be a lot of opportunity so I see it as the best of both worlds.
I would fully support going to everything being a draw. Make elk one system and you have to draw any tag you get. No difference between general elk and draw elk. People will have to choose which one they want. Do the same with deer.
Make our deer and elk systems the same as Colorado's deer. All units are a draw.
I would rather hunt every 2-3 years and have a better hunt with fewer people.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

I oppose any plan that uses a statewide management approach. Each unit needs to be managed on an individual basis. Units vary way to much for a cookie cutter approach. It will take fewer bucks per doe do breed the entire population in more mild or moderate terrain than it will in rough or more extreme terrain.
I'm not saying each unit needs to be managed for the maximum size of bucks or bulls I am saying each unit needs to be looked at individually and have a completely separate plan for each.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I oppose the proposal of turning Nine Mile Range Creek into a general season area for Elk. At one time this unit was one of the best in the state. I'd like to see better management practices in place instead of reasons to open it up. Shut down the cow and spike elk hunt and get the numbers up. I have spent my entire life on this unit and have watched it decline since the 70s for deer and elk. The elk will be completely wiped out in the first year and you will never harvest another elk on it again as the pressure will be too great to control especially with the cow elk proposal. We have very few limited area's in this Region and feel you need to reconsider making the change for have requested.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Would like to see Book Cliffs managed for better quality deer

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I support the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

First and foremost, I support providing more opportunities to sportsman and getting youth into hunting. With that said, I am vehemently opposed to the proposal to allow unlimited open bull tags on the general elk hunt. The state of Utah has few areas that are open bull units. Expanding the number of tags to unlimited will greatly increase already crowded areas. I have hunted elk in Utah since I was legally able, every year for the past 35 years, I have seen hunters in the field increase. I have also seen the decline in ethical hunting. I have witnessed hunters shoot every species except what their tag was for, wasting species and opportunities for other hunters. I have witnessed the beautiful landscapes in these area overrun with OHV use (I own OHVs). You reference areas that elk can escape hunting pressure. That may have been true prior to OHVs, but now there are hunters in remote areas that used to be the sanctuaries during hunting season. If your goal is to get more people in the field, issue 5,000-10,000 more permits initially, then allow your biologists to review herd management objectives/populations. Institute incrementally, instead of opening the floodgates. Finally, I am very concerned that the DWR has not thoroughly thought this proposal through. Please consider that by allowing more people into Utah's backcountry, you are increasing resource damage, search and rescue costs, and taxing already thin federal, state, and local law enforcement officers in the areas these open bull units are located. An easy complaint would be to say your agency is only doing this to generate revenue. I think to some extent that is true, please help me understand how this is beneficial for elk populations, sportsman, and the experience of the outdoors. I have seen in my lifetime the quality of deer hunting in Utah decline, I hope to never see the quality of our elk hunting and herds follow the same path...

Thank you.
Avid Sportsman and concerned citizen.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I am opposed to changing the amount of any-bull permits to unlimited. horrible and stupid idea. Please start looking to other surrounding states as examples of how to properly manage the elk population.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

The proposal for the unlimited tags for open bull is the stupidest idea I think you guys have come up with. You made the new early season deer tags due to over crowding on the mountain do you realize how bad the mountains will be over crowded. If this proposal goes threw maybe it's time for the board members and biologists to step aside and let people who will do there job and protect the herds instead of bein all about the money. Thank heavens for the tribal ground and private property in the northeastern area cuz if it wasn't for that you guys would have the elk gone.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I have a couple more inputs I'd like to share. Number one, I can understand if you want to give more people opportunity to hunt. I just don't see that working. If you do a survey before the change and see that most people would probably prefer a quality hunt over quantity. And if all they see is hunter orange or have to know someone or pay more for private land, that's not a quality hunt. Number two, Colorado. Colorado just passed introducing wolves to the state. Yes Colorado does have alot of game. They also have more people and tourist. I've heard multiple times there plan is to place wolves in less populated areas. Moffat County being one. Currently Moffat County has a pack in Browns Park area. More packs will just cause them to further there establishment here. If bull hunts increase and so do predator kills from the wolves. Can the population of elk here be sustained? If you want to create more hunting opportunities then try two seasons for rifle or muzzleloader. See what Colorado impact will be, and look into the issue a little more.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I support all the proposals except the unlimited number of general season any bull elk tags. That hunt is already hard enough. The elk populations in those units are already low and the hunts are already crowded. I am okay with not being able to get a tag every single year. I would rather have a limited number of tags but a better quality hunt. Unlimited tags are going to slaughter the elk populations in those areas. Let's not decimate our elk herds. The mass majority of hunters I've talked with agree this is a bad move. The other proposals are good and make sense. Thanks for listening to the stakeholders and doing some limited entry hunts through the month of September. That is a great change.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

I support the nontoxic shot rule.
I support the retrieval only area inclusion.

I would only support permanent blinds if they are available to anyone on a first come first serve basis with lots of signage stating this.
I would NOT support permanent blinds on property open to the public that would be limited to use by whomever made the blind or otherwise not be available to everyone.
This creates friction between hunters that think they own the area and the general public who should have equal access.

Thanks,
R. Jason Heywood

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

First let me say that it's very hard when I can only support, be neutral or oppose the ENTIRE proposals and amendments.

It would be more beneficial to have that option on each of the proposals.

A little about me.

I have a lifetime license. I am 49 years old and have been a avid hunter my entire life.

My biggest issue with hunting today is OVERPOPULATION!!!! Too many hunters!!

This totally ruins the experience for me and I have all but given up the general deer hunt because of hunter pressure.

I have enjoyed the rifle elk hunt but that too is getting too populated to enjoy.

Some of my family have chosen the muzzleloader elk hunt just to get away from so many people. This is sad because we no longer hunt together. At first glance the multi-season tag looked appealing but has proven to only make things worse.

We hunt the North Slope any bull area and have seen 10 times the number of hunters which also means we are seeing 10 times fewer elk!!!

This area has LOTS of downfall trees which make accessing much of the country too difficult to hunt. Lots of hunter pressure just pushes the elk deep into these areas where access is EXTREMELY difficult, noisy and would be impossible for most hunters including myself to pack an elk out of. We hunt the entire season from opening Saturday thru the close on the 2nd Thursday. We expect hunter population to be high on the weekends but usually it decreases during the week.

That has not been the case the last few years and hunter population was especially high this year!

We generally see decent success as long as we put in the time and effort. However, with so many other people pushing the elk out of our huntable area or turning them nocturnal our success has dropped significantly!

I would like you to address the issue of too many hunters which removes the enjoyment from hunting.

These are my recommendations:

- 1-- Leave the number of any bull elk tags capped at it's current number.
- 2-- Eliminate the "Multi-season tag" for elk hunting.
- 3-- eliminate the "Early Rifle Deer Hunt" which takes place in the middle of the Elk hunt.

The biggest problem you and I face today is overpopulation in general and Utah is still growing rapidly.

I do not believe that prioritizing hunting access to everyone should outweigh ensuring those that do have a permit have a quality hunt free from seeing another hunter/group of hunters every where they turn.

Thank you!
R. Jason Heywood

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

I have given up general season deer hunting due to extreme hunter pressure.

Thanks,
R. Jason Heywood

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

I have given up general season deer hunting due to extreme hunter pressure.

Thanks,
R. Jason Heywood

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

I have given up general season deer hunting due to extreme hunter pressure.

Thanks,
R. Jason Heywood

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?

I do not hunt this region.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?

I do not hunt this region.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans? I do not hunt this region.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations: I am neutral on the recommendations.

Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations? I do not hunt this region.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

You guys have got to be kidding!!! Opening anthro to destroy what little is left there cmon man! I've hunted that unit the last 2 years(me and my dads tag) the number of bulls on that unit is very low as is turn loose the sea of orange in October and you will leave nobody with an opportunity the following year they will kill near every bull off that unit then you will have people complaining there is nothing there. The only thing utah has going for it is its elk herd and the DWR is doing their best to screw that up, I know these comments won't do a thing so I'll just have to remember when there were actually bull elk on that unit??

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I support the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I just want to voice support for changing the north cache limited entry elk hunts to limited entry elk archery and HAMS hunts. As someone who hunts with a traditional muzzleloader the majority of the time, I have been frustrated over the years with the change in Utah's muzzleloader regulations. Allowing magnifying scopes has recently opened the door for muzzleloaders to be modified in a way that they continue to meet Utah's muzzleloader requirements but are no longer short range firearms. Due to the added range of these models, rifle hunters wishing to obtain a tag can draw a muzzleloader tag with less points and harvest an animal at similar distances as with their center fire rifles. Utah's muzzleloader seasons are starting to seem more like bonus rifle seasons. This unfavorably changes the draw odds for hunters who wish to draw a muzzleloader tag and are willing to accept that they are at a firearm disadvantage but have a better chance of drawing a tag. HAMS hunts provide that opportunity to short range weapons hunters. Even though I haven't hunted during a HAMS hunt yet I am excited to see them implemented especially on a limited entry elk unit. I look forward to applying.

Thank you to the Division, RAC and wildlife boards for all your time and hard work!

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I support the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I support the proposals and amendments.
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	The unlimited Elk tags on any bull units is a good plan as well as the other elk related tags. I believe some Pronghorn units could be General Season not Limited Entry with Objective limits as well.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?	As most of the lands are Private I believe the population objectives should be as is but I don't really worry about the area much as I don't have access to the better areas
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	I believe the range quality on the National Forest from Currant Creek to Mill Hollow needs improvement some prescribed burns are in order.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?	Having hunted Unit 30 I think more early tags should be issued (archery and muzzleloader) and fewer early rifle and rifle to equalize pressure while still giving opportunities
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

we don't have enough elk as it is need to quite worrying about opportunity and manage game not hunting opportunity ,unlimited elk license is insane.we already have half the state out here .and half the elk are tribal .with no opportunity.for white guys to get to anthro open bull noooooooooooooo this use to be best unit in Utah to many tags for cows have wipe it out to many cow tags on yellow stone unit has wipe it out , cut tags in book cliffs for elk and deer or they will be gone we need a Management hunt late for deer to get rid of bad big 2*3 genes out there get rid of spike hunt in book cliffs if it so wonderful switch it to diamond instead of unlimited elk tags make limit like deer too many people and no elk tribal members call it the pumpkin patch . buffalo hunts are good shut anthro down for 3 years let deer elk herd come back in short the north eastern part of Utah use to be best hunting in Utah but we gave out to many tags its now considered the garbage units of Utah anthro and books use to produce trophies now tough to find mature animal in sted of unlimited tags shut down let the herd build NO MORE MANAGEMENT FOR OPPERTUNITY MANE GAME NOT MONEY HAVE A 3 SEASON DEER TAG LIKE ELK ITS BAD WHEN DEDICTED HUNTER DEER TAG COST MORE THAN 3 SEASON ELK TAG IF WANT OPPERTUNITY FOR YOUTH GIVE THEM EARLY ELK TAGS AND LATE SEASON MANEGEMENT DEER TAGS NO ON ANTHRONO ON UNLIMETED ELK TAGS IN NORTHEASTERN UNIT YOU WANT OPEN BULL PUT ON MANTI ITS BIG ENOUGH TO HANDLE IT

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

WE DONT HAVE ENOUGH DEER AS IT IS CUTTAGS IN BOOKS AND NORTHEASERN UNITS NEED A MANEGEMNT HUTN IN BOOKS TO GET RID OF BIG 2 BIG 3POINT GENE GIVE THEM TO THE YOUTH LATE SEASON OPPIRTUNITY

<p>After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:</p>	<p>I oppose the plans.</p>
<p>After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:</p>	<p>I oppose the plans.</p>
<p>Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?</p>	<p>SHUT THESE UNITS DOWN LET HERD BUILD HAVE MANEGEMENT HUNT 3 POINT OR SMALLER TO GET RID OF BAD GENES have 3 season deer tag like elk it cost more for dedicate hunter than n 3 season elk tag northeastern use the best in Utah now considered garbage unit dew to lack of game and to many hunters</p>
<p>After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:</p>	<p>I oppose the plans.</p>
<p>Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?</p>	<p>shut it down not enough animals</p>
<p>After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:</p>	<p>I oppose the plans.</p>
<p>Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?</p>	<p>all for management plans state needs more of these to get rid of bad genes</p>
<p>After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:</p>	<p>I oppose the plans.</p>
<p>Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?</p>	<p>shut manti down and san rafel not enough to hunt</p>
<p>After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:</p>	<p>I oppose the recommendations.</p>

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Keep general season elk 15000 tags do not make it unlimited make it a draw so we don't have to sit by a computer to try and get a tag. Also change the extended for disability back to 5 days after hunt ends not before.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

Do not decrease the deer population give less tags out for each unit this up coming year also turn all general season units as a 3 point or better like Wyoming

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Very concerned with safety regarding the elk unlimited any bull tags. I live in Oak City, as it is on this elk hunt it is so crowded it is scary at times and now you want to allow an unlimited number of people to hunt any bull units. This puts too many people in too close proximity to each other hunting. Maybe in the Uinta's, but small units like this cannot sustain that either. this unit they get pushed from one canyon to the next. Please don't go from 15000 to unlimited. Maybe try a gradual increase in number of tags and see how that goes first.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

see comments in northeastern.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

It seems odd to me that you have been stating for several years that the unit in wasatch is good and can handle the late season limited entry hunt. Now after several years are saying that we need to now reduce objective. We added a hunt that reduced deer numbers and now are lowering the unit objectives. Sounds like killing more deer, especially deer during the rut, has hurt the unit and now we are lowering numbers to match an ineffective decision. Additionally during the last 10 years the state has given doe tags.

Why issue tags, when objectives were not met, and now lower those objectives? Also the animals never get a rest. New early buck hunts, late elk hunts, all overlapping hunts. We have increased the number of days hunters are afield always pushing the animals. Get rid of the early rifle deer hunt, get rid of the late limited entry rut hunt and let the animals calm down, not be pressured and be amazed how much they survive without constant pressure on them. This lowers fat reserves and leads to poor winter survival. That is what biologists have been stating for years so they lowered tags, divided into subunits, and now increased pressure and number of hunts that animals never recover during the fall season going into winter.

I have hunted current creek for 30 plus years. Lowering the objective does not make sense. When I started hunting there the unit was the "number one herd production unit in the state!" Now we have over harvested, over pressured the animals and failed to keep that. Let's change the strategy to help the animals and reduce the number of hunts, not the objective for number of animals. the numbers have gone down equally as the number of hunts has increased on the unit. Has anyone looked at that? Now we are going to do unlimited elk tags on a majority of this unit and increase the pressure on the animals.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations?

Never a fan of giving more CWMU acreage to hunt.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

There needs to be a cap on any bull tags. Having three season unlimited permits is going to put too much stress on animals, in turn will send them into winter with poor health. This will affect both deer and elk. If we are going to turn these LE elk units into open bull, give out more LE elk tags for 5 years and give some point holders the first chance at these bulls. It is ridiculous to just turn everyone loose on these units and not give these guys that have put in for 10 years the first opportunity to hunt these bulls.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I do not like the idea of unlimited general bull elk tags. I have kids that will be starting to hunt in the next few years I want them to have the opportunity to have a successful hunt! By putting more hunters out in the field its going to make successful hunts more rare. Personally I'd rather hunt every other year even every 3rd year and have a higher chance of harvesting an animal than be able to hunt every year and have to content with more people on the mountain. Please consider this opinion. I'm not totally against changing units to general but we do not need unlimited general bull tags!!!

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Covey i support your recommendation with the rest of the plan I would also like to see 3 corners Le added into general season elk and as far as the unlimited elk tags but there has to be some changes 3 season elk needs to be gone and take scopes off of muzzleloaders(this will also help our deer herds)and make it 4 point or better on elk that way people won't think your trying to wipe out the elk herd....

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Good afternoon Dax
This south slope vernal unit is in really bad shape it needs either shut down or cut tags back a ton then the buck to doe ratio needs to be higher like 18 to 20 bucks per 100 doe's
after counting 350 plus deer from the archery hunt threw the muzzleloader elk hunt from above dry fork to chicken coop i have been on the mountain since the beginning of the archery hunt threw the muzzleloader elk hunt that just ended i have only seen 12 bucks and i know 9 of them got harvested during the archery and muzzleloader right off the road.
Speaking of Muzzleloader's we need to get rid of the scopes it needs to be back the way it was open sights or red dot or 1x power they are harvesting a lot more bucks during the deer hunt i would like to see them off of them period i watched a hunter shoot an elk at 450 yards this year didn't drop the elk but it ran 100 yards and tipped over our deer don't even have a chance at all especially with all the roads that are up on the mountain i would love to see a law that you have to be 30 ft off of any road period before you can shoot at a animal.
Thanks for all that you do.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I support the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Today I would like to address the rack I am totally opposed with the DNR's new proposals they are a total joke Why would the division of wildlife resources ruined for limited entry units that took many many years to build let's take the 9 mile and throw unit for instants back in the day about 10 to 15 years ago maybe even 20 Anthro produced big balls trophy balls just like any other unit in the state win managed properly but due to the DWR over killing cows on that particular unit it has been ruined but people still put in for that unit The DWR is going to ruin for limited entry units that is going to take potentially thousands of limited entry elk applicants who hold bonus points out of the pool and make the drawings potentially worse in other LE units in the state of Utah for elk watt a joke Tonight I would like to address the rack do you think that there is any place in the world that I heard can take unlimited hunting pressure think about that for a little bit

The last few years you are not seeing near the amount of trophy bull elk been taking in the state of Utah due to overhunting and 15,000 spike tags on the LE units these limited entry units are suffering horrendously due to the amount of spike killing that has been going on now you tell me was you were a kid wants or was you always a 400 inch bowl of 400 inch bowl has to be a yearling sometime and he may be a spike I also believe that the DNR is being extremely greedy they seen this year on the first day the applicants could buy a general season elk tag that there was an overload of people trying to buy one they could see dollar signs spinning in their eyes

You can mark my word for it after one year of opening these for new limited entry units into general season bull elk units they will be trashed out there will be no bulls survive with unlimited hunting pressure all the DWR is looking for is greed and dollars

And one last thing due to COVID-19 the DWR is going to work this unlimited health tag right into their favor so the average sportsman cannot show up at the rack and address his opinion

Tonight I would like to address the rack to to shoot down the DWR's proposals and leave as well as proposed the year before having unlimited elk tags is not fair to our elk population and it's not fair to the state of Utah And not fair to all the sportsman of Utah

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	<p>Tonight I would like to address the rack in the north eastern region I've lived my whole life in the north eastern region and I have never seen the deer herds in this poor of shape let's take for instance the south slope Yellowstone unit this unit is in serious trouble this unit is 4000 animals below their new product projected objective What is the DWR doing to get this 4000 animal deficit to come up nothing absolutely nothing the DNR is trying to make themselves look good by lowering the objective because they know that our herds are in serious trouble</p> <p>Take for instance the book Cliffs the book Cliffs if managed properly can Produce trophy Buck mule deer year after year after year but we managed to piss poorly like the DWR is doing now it produces junk box 2 by threes to produce one by Tus three before and very few four by fours this unit is in complete bad shape and needs shut in the whole unit needs closed down it is beyond belief how piss poor shape the Bookcliff limited entry deer herd is in in Tonight I would like to address the rack to oppose the DWR's proposal it's a total joke</p>
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I think it is not right to people that have spent years putting in for Nine Mile Anthro and never drew a tag to tAke that away from them. This will ruin this unit, killing all the big elk out there.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

I don't agree with unlimited permits for bulls. It will desimate the herd.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Keep Antro acclimated entry elk unit it's already getting enough pressure from oilfield and depredation concerns

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I have personally watched my preferential elk hunting locations go from a pretty good and successful hunting experiences to a rather disappointing and unsuccessful experiences over the last several years all due to the steadily increasing and relentless hunting pressure over the past several years. I am disappointed that the DWR would trend in the way of decreasing the quality of the hunt(s) in favor of increasing the profits by unlimited any bull general tag sales. Quality deer and elk hunting in Utah has now become a de-facto once in a lifetime hunt. Good luck ever drawing a limited entry hunt more than once in your life and good luck finding any elk among the hordes of unchecked hunters in the coming years.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

None

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I strongly disagree with your elk plan. I had the worst year of my life elk hunting this year due to crowded hunting and Elk population being so low. Below is my reason I disagree with your plan and some other added issues.

- Get rid of the three-season general season tag causes overcrowding and is causing the harvest success to be too high (killing all the elk.)
- Book Cliffs age class change not a good idea we need the higher-class trophy unit in our area. It is hard enough to find a mature bull on a limited entry unit as it is.
- Ninemile Unit needs to stay a limited entry unit I understand it's not the best limited entry unit but if we change this unit and the three other units to general season it's going to add more applicants to the other limited entry units and will cause a significant jump in years to get a limited entry tag. Utah already has a tough limited draw.
- No unlimited elk tags you talk about opportunity for families to get a elk tag every year and maybe that's your push to go unlimited. But what happens when the elk numbers are so low and it takes Utah several years to replenish the numbers, you will be pushing away all the future hunters and current hunters because all the elk are dead also causing a bad experience when you have a million hunters on the mountain and all your kids and families see are a sea of orange not a experience I want my kids to have. Better to have the elk in a draw and be able to hunt them every other year and have a great experience while hunting. Keep at 15,000
- All these Decisions I feel like are Money driven does the DNR care about our Wildlife.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

Why the decrease in deer population 18 units want to decrease their deer herds. Let us put some time and money into helping the habitat or decrease cattle grazing so these areas can hold the amount of deer. I feel like Utah is going in the wrong direction on this one. We need to increase deer giving us hunters more opportunity to hunt and enjoy and see deer.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations: I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

There are no elk for unlimited tags

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

The unlimited elk tags is not a good idea. There isn't enough elk as is. You should Unitize the elk like the deer. Cut back on tags and make it a 3-4 point or better. I would also recommend this for your deer units. Then all the spikes and 2 point's off the side of the road have a chance to grow and mature. This would eventually help with the herds and the quality. I do not agree at all with opening up anthro for a general bull unit. You already have half the state jammed in one area for elk now you want to open up another unit to make it worse. If you open up anthro in one year all the elk will be slaughtered. Then eventually when they migrate from the wasatch unit you will eventually make it worse and want to do the same thing. You have 6 to 8 LEE units right by each other. All mid Utah is LEE. If your going to be that dumb and open up anthro then you need to pick 5 units you want elk for and open up the whole [REDACTED] state. Maybe you need to cut back on your spike hunts and cow hunts and do them every 3 years. Doing that alone would increase your herds. I would also recommend getting rid of the youth elk hunt. Maybe even raise the hunting age to 14 again. If you managed for more matured elk and deer you would interest out of state hunters more which would be more money, since that's what it seems like you guys only care about. If you did cut the tags and double the price you still get your money and will have more happy hunters with the quality of deer and elk in the field.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

You should be managing units for 25 to 30 bucks to 100 does. Then when you have better harvest rates and harsh winters your numbers wont drop drastically causing a bad year the next year. One bad thing one the nine mile unit particular is the wild horses. Because there is getting to be more there is less feed. They are a problem and would be nice to round them up if possible. You should also think about putting limitations on units maybe Do a 3 point or better, this would help the herds and have more mature bucks.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Over the past 10 yrs there has been a major increase of muzzle loader elk hunters in the northeastern hunt area. I am walking around more hunters in the field every year with decreased chance to bag a mature animal. I fell that over the counter unlimited general elk tags will only increase the amount of hunters in the field and should not be allowed. The state has increased so many different hunts the animals are getting run to death with all the pressure. Lets start thinking about the herds for quality hunting and not the all mighty dollar.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

I support the lower number overall.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

I hunted the muzzle loader deer hunt in this unit for 5 days of which I did not see one buck on BLM or state land. I did only hunt from Nine mile road North to Myton.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Why the ■ would you make a difficult hunt to begin with, almost impossible and also decimate the population of bull-elk? I'm an avid hunter but I also believe in conservation and preserving hunting for generations to come instead of mindless and reckless hunting for which this proposal encourages!

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Removing the cap for any bull general season permits is a horrible idea. I strongly oppose it.

As much as there is a carrying capacity for animals there should also be a carrying capacity of hunters. Hunting in areas loaded with people doesn't improve anyone's experience. In fact it does the opposite leaving you feeling frustrated and ripped off.

By removing the cap the increased pressure from significant amount of people and their ATV's UTV's will push these animals to the most difficult to access areas. In general families with younger kids who want to experience hunting will not be able to because the pressured animals will go to where you kids can't physically get to. There is a difference between having a tag and being able to successfully hunt. This does not expand the opportunities for families- You are shrinking the opportunity. There are multiple parts or aspects to "hunting" having a tag is just one of many aspects. The opportunity cost of dishing out unlimited tags will ruin all of the other parts of "hunting" like connecting to your heritage.

I support a cap on any bull general season areas. I support a cap on all Archer, Riffle, and muzzleloader tags as well.

I support an even stronger cap on out of state hunter tags. I also would support increasing the out of state tag cost for elk and other big game tags.

If you want improve the opportunity for families, and improve our ties to our hunting heritage, increase the number of youth tags. I would also support the youth any bull tag to changing to No out of state hunters. The tag is difficult enough to draw not adding in thousands of out of state youth to the mix. Nothing is more frustrating than seeing my daughters not be able to draw a tag in state when we know of several out of state youth who drew the tag. I wish the UTAH DWR would focus on Utah youth first.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations: I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

*There are already to many hunters in most of the any bull units. This is causing the elk to move out to the private land or the spike/cwmu units earlier.

*Also how are you planning on keeping the hunters safe do to the mass numbers of people on the mountain/unit. (Orange is not the solution) On Opening morning when the sun rises you have people on both sides of the mountain shooting a crossed and animals running in chaos trying to get away to safety.

*I vote NO! To the unlimited tags

* you will also see a decrease in the amount of spike tags being bought due to the fact that people buy spike tags when there are no more bull tags available.

I have personally done that and I know several others that I've done the same thing.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

The Book Cliffs Limited entry elk hunt is being diminished for two reasons: the spike hunt has become too successful in harvest and access. Too many hunters now recognize this area as providing success...this is in large part because access is limited only by topography. Enforcement of motorized use is almost non-existent (vehicles, ATV's side-by-sides are being used for scouting and location. Animals are now extremely skiddish into the rifle elk hunt). The BLM Vernal District has been working for years on identifying routes and maps. No realistic time table exists for its completion. The limited entry elk hunt therefore suffers in too many animals being harvested. Two items are needed: Limit the number of spike hunters to 100 annually AND provide easily identifiable large polygons of non motorized use such as: Beginning at Massey Junction, everything right of the Atchee Ridge Road to the Book Cliffs Divide and continuing along the Divide to the Seep Ridge Road then south along the Divide Road to Kings Well Road then east along this road crossing Bitter Creek to the King's Well. 1/2 north of the King's Well turn east and continue to junction with the Wolf Den Road then proceed north to the Atchee Ridge Road then continue SE along this road to the Massey Junction. Anecdotally the public has been taking notice of the diminished quality of the hunt as the prices of the landowners tags have dropped in recent years by approx. \$2,000/tag. This survey, prior to submittal required I check a box on each regional proposal...even if neutral. Why?

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Having unlimited General season bull elk tags is beyond a joke I believe that the DNR is being greedy also to destroy a limited entry unit is beyond a joke just take for instance the anthro unit 10 to 15 years ago was as good as unit as any if managed properly but when the unit is not managed properly the unit turns piss poor this unit when managed properly produces trophy bull elk why would you destroy it and essentially put more pressure in the drawer system of people that are not playing for limited-entry elk in anthro that have to apply somewhere else

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

The Book Cliffs deer herd is beyond a joke with a population estimate of 4000 animals and objective of over 10,000 the unit needs to be closed and it needs to be closed 5 years ago

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Unlimited General season bull elk tags is a joke it means the DNR is being greedy also to destroy limited-entry units that produce as big as Bulls has any if managed properly is pasta joke

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I agree with needing more any bull elk units. But completely disagree with the unlimited tags. When you go hunting you expect to see your wildlife then people and what I and many others experienced this year was completely opposite. Seeing hardly any elk covering countless miles on horse back and on foot and not seeing nearly the elk we used to and way more hunters. I feel you are taking the wrong steps. This seems more like a money grab. Yes people want to get out and hunt and have great experiences but when with unlimited tags they will not experience the greatness of what our general season elk hunts could be. Tags are first come first serve so everyone complaining about not getting tags should have gotten online sooner. Spike tags should also be reduced I have been going out to the book cliffs my entire life and since the spike hunt has started I have watched our elk herds and trophy bulls disappear. I hope you truly ask the hunting community for opinions and not just make them on your own. Sure maybe a unlimited amount of tags would make sense if we had elk numbers like Colorado or Wyoming, but we are not even close. I do agree with some of your ideas like extending the archery hunts through the middle of September but that's about it.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I support the majority of the changes but I feel like there should be a cap on nonresident any bull hunts instead of being no cap.

I also feel like the state should start looking into managing the elk to be more of an opportunity state. Maybe pushing the rifle hunt for limited elk into october and manage the elk for lower class to give more tags to the public.

I don't think the spike unit is working in the book cliffs. Having hunts going on from August to December (deer, elk, antlerless, spike) can't be good for the elk. They need time where they aren't being pressured and getting rid of the spike units could assist in this.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations?

I am against CWMU blocking access to accessible public lands like was brought up in the central RAC meeting. Also I'm against the permit recommendations for the cactus buck hunts. If the animals need to be removed add more tags to the public hunts in the area for cactus bucks, not give the opportunity to land owners to bring in more money when the deer will move off that property.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I thought sfw was supporting trophy hunting areas. Limit tags state wide charge more for tags if it's a money issue

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

Why do we need to kill every 2 point in the state cut tags 3 point or better across state

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Reduce tags charge more for permits 3 poin or better across state

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Good morning Rac board
I watched the central rac and i opposed the proposal to go unlimited elk tags
I support going 20,000 cap on anybull elk tags
I support youth going unlimited tags
I support archery running threw the youth rifle elk hunt.
I would like to see 3 corners part of the anybull elk unit as well
I support the rest that has been presented
Thanks for all that you do

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

Good morning Rac board
I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest.
During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

Good morning Rac board

I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest.

During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Good morning Rac board

I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest.

During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?

Good morning Rac board

I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest.

During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?

Good morning Rac board

I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest.

During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Good morning Rac board

I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest.

During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations?

Good morning Rac board

I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest.

During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

You are going to kill the elk population in the Uintah basin it's already horrible out here why make it worse!!!

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I am in favor of making more draw units, I feel as though that is a good idea. I have a very hard time seeing the good that will come with the idea I'd unlimited general season elk tags. I hunted general season elk this year and it was horrible, hundreds of people in every hunting area making things extremely unsafe and the number of bull elk in the area could not sustain that many hunters, the population will be damaged severely from theses proposed changes. Things have been going down hill with big games hunts, you are to concerned about the money and therefore it is hurting the way the stat manages its wildlife. I strongly suggest not moving forward with the unlimited general elk tags. There will be dire consequences.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

No new blinds. Support non-toxic shot. Don't care about the retrieval zone, but think it is always good to be able to retrieve downed game, if it won't be abused.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I am actually not sure. The unlimited any bull elk tags scare me spitless, but I actually think it will stop artificially inflating demand that that it might even out over time. I wish there was a way to try it without huge adverse risks - like maybe try for one year - although I assume that first year will be inflated as people buy more thinking it will be the last year there will be any elk on the landscape.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

Leave the objectives high, and shoot for the stars.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Leave the objectives high, and shoot for the stars.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?

Haven't listened yet.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans? Haven't listened yet.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans? Haven't listened yet.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations: I am neutral on the recommendations.

Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations? Haven't listened yet.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

If you make it unlimited elk tag and change the limited entry to any bull every body going to hit those units a kill everything they can there got to be some sort of control so everything is not killed and dnr is liars because I have talked to biologists and things and they have told that they would never make nine mile anthro an any bull unit for general season come on guys quite being money hungry and try other things to manage the elk herds instead of killing them all what going to happen when there's nothing left then how are you going to fund the dnr if these goes through i have talked to several other hunters and people that said they quit buying utah tags and spend there money else where so they can have a good hunt you open it up to unlimited there going to hunters crawling over each I thought it bad now but unlimited is worse but dnr wont listen I been trying for years to have dnr look at the cow hunt for nine mile anthro but they still give 500 tags for there that is stupid

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

The DWR is just looking for a reason to obtain more money, and by setting an unlimited amount of any bull tags under the disguise of "increase hunter opportunity " should be criminal. Every area of the state is already over hunted. Success rates are even lower however; the dwr does not care about actual success, they only care about revenue off of tags.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

The DWR has successfully ruined deer hunting in the state of Utah. Over the past 20 years I have watched a gradual and steady decrease in the number of animals observed in any given area. Every year they post about how there are more deer and more hunter opportunities than ever, but it's just a ploy to gain their \$10 application fee. Hunting in Utah is a joke. Unless you are of the wealthy class you don't stand a chance at harvesting any decent mature animal.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

This unit already has no deer. Why would you want to decrease the number? The DWR allows limited entry hunts on private property where the deer are slaughtered. Nobody hunting public land stands a chance of harvesting a decent buck. Get rid of the November deer muzzle loader.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I oppose the recommendations.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

November 2020 RAC Feedback
November 12, 2020 2:47 pm

**After viewing the waterfowl
recommendations and R657-9
amendments:**

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

November 12, 2020

To: Utah Wildlife Board, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (DWR), and Members of the Regional Advisory Councils

Re: Opposition to Proposed Rule Change to Allow New Permanent Blinds in Willard Spur Waterfowl Management Area

National Audubon Society's Saline Lakes Program (Audubon) would like to express our concern for the recent proposed additions to R657-9-34(3) and R657-9-34(3)(a), which would permit the construction of new permanent blinds on Willard Spur Waterfowl Management Area. For the reasons explained below Audubon respectfully requests that the proposed rule change not be adopted, but instead withdrawn or rejected.

Permanent Blinds Would Detract From the Unique Natural Characteristics of Willard Spur

In 2019, Audubon supported H.B. 265 (UT Code §23-21-5(2)(a)) designating Willard Spur as a Waterfowl

Management Area (WMA), particularly for the designation's role in long-term protection of this

irreplaceable ecosystem of Willard Spur, and its importance to Great Salt Lake's Bear River Bay. Willard

Spur's unique hydrology and ecology promote an exceptional diversity of habitats that make it one of the

most productive areas of Great Salt Lake, supporting hundreds of thousands of shorebirds, waterfowl

and other waterbirds each year. As highlighted by the important language in the bill prohibiting the

"construction or use of an impounding dike, impounding levee, or other impounding structure,"

maintaining these natural characteristics is key to the ongoing importance of Willard Spur to all bird

species. These natural, wild characteristics also draw waterfowlers, fisherman, boaters, photographers,

birders and other recreationalists to Willard Spur WMA. The construction of new, permanent blinds

would detract greatly from these natural aspects, and would not be aligned with the reasons for Willard

Spur WMA's designation.

"No New Blinds" Was Overwhelmingly Agreed Upon by the Vast Majority of the Willard Spur

Management Planning Committee

Audubon staff participated in the Willard Spur Management Planning Committee, which consisted of a

wide variety of consumptive users, non-consumptive users, Regional Advisory Council members and DWR

Staff. After much deliberation there was general accession (though not

without concern) to allowing preexisting permanent blinds¹ used by members of both Willard Duck Club and the Pintail Club to remain.

However, the majority of the planning committee agreed that no new blinds should be permitted for the following reasons:

? "No new blinds" was consistent with existing regulations of all Utah WMA's.

? Safety and liability issues associated with other recreational users and blinds, which are inherently difficult to see and could be a hazard to boaters.

¹ According to the Willard Spur Final DRAFT Habitat Management Plan, January 2020, there are already 17 duck blinds in the Willard Spur Area that will remain open for public use.

National Audubon Society - Saline Lakes Program

Opposition to Proposal to Allow New Permanent Blinds in Willard Spur WMA, November 12, 2020

Page 2 of 2

? Concerns over privately built blinds on public land, which could lead to user conflicts and unknown responsibilities for upkeep and monitoring blind locations.

It was our understanding that there was overwhelming opposition to new blinds by the majority of stakeholders, who have not changed since that time.

The Proposed Rule Change to R657-9-34(3) and R657-9-34(3)(a) is Inconsistent with the Management Goals and Legislative Designation of Willard Spur WMA; Do Not Address Significant Issues Associated With the Management and Permitting the Construction of Blinds on a Popular Public WMA

The proposed change to R657-9-34(3) would create an exception for the Willard Spur WMA from the restrictions on waterfowl blinds provided in subsection (1)(a) - 1(c). Unlike, other exceptions to these restrictions in the rule for specific locations at other WMAs (e.g., "West and North of Unit 1, Turpin Unit of Farmington Bay WMA), the proposed rule creates an exception for the entire Willard Spur WMA.

Furthermore, proposed rule R657-9-34(3)(a) states that "the placement of any new permanent blind will require written permission from DWR and FFSL." Other than requiring written permission, there is no mention of the process by which applicants will receive permission, or how many new blinds potentially could be approved. Additionally, it is unclear whether any proposal for a new permanent blind would be subject to DWR land use rule 657-28 or FFSL rules R652-30, 40, 41 or 70 or subject to public notice and comment. The proposed rule also raises a number of concerns, such as: safety and liability, including whether safety and visibility specifications will need to be met; and importantly - how this won't lead to a proliferation of artificial structures throughout the Willard Spur WMA. Any additional blinds or infrastructure will detract from Willard Spur's wild and natural characteristics. Moreover, the proposed rule places additional management

responsibility on DWR and FFSL.

If a clarification to R657-9-34 is necessary to address the pre-existing permanent blinds at Willard Spur WMA, the proposed rule goes well beyond that purpose. If that is the intent, we recommend that DWR withdraw the proposed rule. DWR staff could then work with stakeholders to develop language to address the specific issue concerning pre-existing blinds.

Audubon appreciates the opportunity to share its perspectives on the proposed "waterfowl blind" rule amendments. For the reasons explained above, Audubon respectfully requests that the Utah Wildlife Board, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, and the Regional Advisory Councils not adopt the proposed rule, but instead withdraw and/or reject the proposed rule change to add R657-9-34(3) and R657-9-34(3)(a).

Sincerely,

National Audubon Society,

Saline Lakes Program

Brian Tavernia, Ecologist (Willard Spur WMA Management Planning
Committee Member)

Max Malmquist, Outreach Associate

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments: I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans: I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations: I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

This year we opened our Cougar hunts up to a nearly state wide unlimited quota the goal and aim of this is to reduce the predator population because of the (large impact) they have on our heard. But then we will turn around and say we need to reduce the numbers of deer we have on many of our units. Unless somehow i am not understanding these messages in the correct manor. These changes to me feel like we are only harming ourselves and wildlife populations in hopes of achieving totally contradictory goals. If we take all the predators off the mountain because they are hurting our deer when why do we now want there to be less deer objectives in these units. And we as hunters should always remember we are the biggest predator population on the mountain so we should be limiting our own harvest better and at that point there will be more predators and prey able to co habitat and both hold healthy populations

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

My name is Darin Noorda.
I am the social media coordinator with the Utah Waterfowl Association. We have a Facebook group that is over 9,000 members. In Oct alone we had over 66,000 interactions(Posts, Comments, Reactions) within the group. We polled our group in regards to the permit to build permanent blinds on the Willard Spur. Here are the results as of Nov 12.
Are you in favor, Oppose or indifferent on the permits to be obtained to build a permanent blind on the Willard Spur
In Favor: 37 votes
In Different: 64 votes
Oppose: 608 votes
Our online Facebook poll shows how unpopular this motion is. I voice my concern with the 608 other members that voted in our poll to NOT allow the construction of permanent blinds on the Willard Spur.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

I strongly oppose the permanent blinds on the Willard Spur and support adding the Willard Spur to the non-toxic shot list and the retrieval zone at Farmington Bay

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I STRONGLY oppose the unlimited Elk permits, I believe its becoming all about the money and not healthy elk herds. I would also like to suggest the multi-season elk permit be removed, if you want that option available for hunters create a dedicated hunt permit for elk hunters like the dedicated deer permits, Best of both worlds. Just imagine the money saved and the habitat restored by service projects. I would like to see the archery Elk hunt extended into late September to give hunters with the toughest hunt with the lowest success rate a better quality hunt.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I support the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	All you guys are doing is making it even harder to draw a limited entry tag.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?	Desperatly needed
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	The southside of Highway 40 from soldier creek to duchesne is prime habitat for wildlife but in my opinion is overlooked.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I oppose the recommendations.
Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations?	The CWMU program is broken. There are too many operators who treat the tag holder who drew the tag, different from the tag holders that pay/ their clients.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I support the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

As a elk bowhunter of 35 years I am jumping up and down in support of adding 9 more days to the General Season archery elk hunt. I don't care if I have to wear orange because I m fine with sharing the woods with the youth hunters.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I actually think the idea of unlimited any bull tags is valid but I am concerned that there is a great risk that there will be party hunting. I propose that the tags are not valid the day they are purchased similar to what is done with the harvest objective hunts. In addition if the any bull tags are unlimited are you going to allow hunters to have 2 bull tags instead of 1. For example could a hunter have an unlimited tag and a spike tag? Or allow those with spike tags to hunt any bull units

In an unrelated comment has the state ever considered allowing anyone with a tag to hunt the extended archery instead of just those with archery tags? I enjoy archery hunting but my family hunts other seasons so I am always face with the decision hunt with family or just go hike with family.q

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I support the recommendations.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

November 2020 RAC Feedback
November 13, 2020 8:07 pm

**After viewing the waterfowl
recommendations and R657-9
amendments:**

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

**After viewing the 2021 big game
proposals and amendments:**

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, "████ with it." They start hunting other states (losing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, It still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's disdain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fell swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours. █████ knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and losing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks

are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely,
Concerned hunters.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, "████ with it." They start hunting other states (losing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, It still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's disdain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fell swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours. █████ knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and losing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks

are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely,
Concerned hunters.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans: I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, "████ with it." They start hunting other states (losing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, It still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's disdain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fell swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours. █████ knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and losing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks

are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely,
Concerned hunters.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, "████ with it." They start hunting other states (losing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, it still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's disdain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fell swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours. █████ knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and losing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks

are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely,
Concerned hunters.

**After watching Riley Peck's
presentation about the Central Region
mule deer unit plans:**

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, "████ with it." They start hunting other states (losing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, it still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's disdain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fell swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours. █████ knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and losing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks

are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely,
Concerned hunters.

**After watching Teresa Griffin's
presentation about the Southern Region
mule deer unit plans:**

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, "████ with it." They start hunting other states (losing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, It still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's disdain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fell swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours. █████ knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and losing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks

are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely,
Concerned hunters.

**After watching Guy Wallace's
presentation about the Southeastern
Region mule deer unit plans:**

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, "████ with it." They start hunting other states (losing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, it still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's disdain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fell swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours. █████ knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and losing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks

are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely,
Concerned hunters.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

This will cause huge fights among hunters that want to use the blinds.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

There are WAY TOO MANY hunters that ruins the quality of the hunt now .
Please quit the multiseason elk tag!!!

The DWR appears more interested in selling lots of permits to make lots of money instead of trying to give the hunters a quality enjoyable hunt!

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

DWR needs to find a way to achieve their goals.
NOT lower their goals so they can meet them.
My boss would never allow this!!!

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

DWR needs to find a way to achieve their goals.
NOT lower their goals so they can meet them.
My boss would never allow this!!!

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

DWR needs to find a way to achieve their goals.
NOT lower their goals so they can meet them.
My boss would never allow this!!!

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans? DWR needs to find a way to achieve their goals.
NOT lower their goals so they can meet them.
My boss would never allow this!!!

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans: I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans? DWR needs to find a way to achieve their goals.
NOT lower their goals so they can meet them.
My boss would never allow this!!!

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans: I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans? DWR needs to find a way to achieve their goals.
NOT lower their goals so they can meet them.
My boss would never allow this!!!

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations: I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I am opposed to the unlimited general season any bull elk tag proposal. I feel this will not help with the hunting experience for are youth hunters. This plan will over crowd an already small area to hunt elk on public lands in the any bull elk units. It will push elk on to private lands. Private land owners and CWMU's will be the ones who benefit from this proposal not the public land hunter.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I strongly object to the unlimited any bull tags in utah. I hunt this hunt, its by far the most difficult hunt to be successful on due to hunting pressure. I muzzleloader hunt and the pressure has skyrocketed since the introduction of the multi-season tag and this may be the final nail in the coffin. I feel this is a decision based on money and if it goes through I may just start only hunting other states.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I honestly can not see how you even think our elk herds can withstand unlimited tags given out. It is already a challenging hunt. If that many people are wanting tags put it to a draw system like the general season deer hunt. Yes we won't be able to hunt as often. But take a look at the amount of people already on the mountain putting an unlimited number of hunters on an already crowded mountain is going to lead to a serious safety issue. I say put it to a draw reduce the number of tags and get rid of the statewide tags and do area hunts so you can better manage the quality of the herds in different areas. And if it does go through I will be done hunting elk in Utah and will take my money that you care so much about and hunt in a state that actually cares about their elk herds.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

I just want to know what your plans on improving the life span of the deer are? Have you noticed the big majority of the bucks killed on general season units or spikes and 2 points. Doesn't give a whole lot of time for them to mutate and breed does it.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I support the proposals and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I would like to see crossbows added to the multi-weapon hunts (HAMS to CHAMS?). Crossbows, of course, are short range weapons and are without noise and would be ideal for many antlerless, hunts as well as the HAMS hunts. I'm not suggesting we add them to the archery only hunts, but I see no reasons not to add them to the multi-weapon hunts, since they are already included in the any weapon hunts.

Thanks for your consideration, (and for doing a tough job sorting out all the hunts to provide opportunity AND quality hunts)!

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

I appreciate the unit population updates based on the latest technology and information. Thanks!

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?

I think it's a bad idea to make the elk unlimited in any bull areas for many reasons 1 is there is not enough Access to the elk you are wanting to target.need horses to get them out most hunters do not have horses 2 the side by sides have put in a lot for new roads that's tearing up the mountains I can just Imagine how many more new roads they'll put it in to get to the elk 3Most of the Elk and Deer hunters are road hunters which caused more traffic jams and issues in the mountains and make it unsafe for Utah's visitor 4And if this is over money just raise the [REDACTED] tags price

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Keep it as is or do a draw raise prices do not put unlimited amount of people in the mountain Alcon it's ridiculous there's not enough access points into the deep mountains most people don't have horses to get there one thing is a lot of help me go back because people don't realize how big the animal is the other thing is gonna be a lot of waste they don't realize that you have to get them all out within a certain amount of time or it goes bad

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I support the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I disagree with having unlimited any bull elk tags. That will wipe out the elk herds out.

It's not fair to all the people that have been putting in for that tag as a limited entry

I don't agree with changing the Nine Mile/ Anthro unit from limited entry to any bull. I have been putting in for that unit for 9 years now and would like to see it still be limited entry. There are other hunters that have more points than and me and I don't feel its right to cheat them out them by switching it to any bull.

I feel by changing it from a limited entry to an any bull elk unit will wipe the elk herd out of Anthro and there will not be as many elk in years to come. The late cow elk hunts to need to be discontinued in my opinion. Letting people hunt cow elk late in the season especially with the chance of killing a cow and her offspring drops elk herds along with winter kill and everything else that happens.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

I think the deer herds need to be re-evaluated. Need to give out less tags or change it to 3 point or better so deer have a chance to grow.

The Nine Mile unit needs to be looked at again and remove the early rifle and late muzzleloader hunt. I don't see the deer herd they are saying is out there. To many tags and not enough deer.

Instead of dropping the deer numbers down to meet objective population. Give out less tags and raise the age limit back up.

**After watching Riley Peck's
presentation about the Central Region
mule deer unit plans:**

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Teresa Griffin's
presentation about the Southern Region
mule deer unit plans:**

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Guy Wallace's
presentation about the Southeastern
Region mule deer unit plans:**

I am neutral on the plans.

**After watching Chad Wilson's
presentation about the 2021 CWMU and
LOA recommendations:**

I am neutral on the recommendations.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

November 2020 RAC Feedback
November 15, 2020 10:26 pm

**After viewing the waterfowl
recommendations and R657-9
amendments:**

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

**After viewing the 2021 big game
proposals and amendments:**

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

After much thought and phone conversations with your biologist over this area I must strongly disagree with the proposal to turn the west desert deep creeks into a archery/hams hunt. I have hunted this unit for over 10 years and have been a part of 6 mature bulls being harvested. I disagree with the change for the following reasons. The deep creeks are a very unique unit. As a hunter you must deal with limited access, there is only 2 roads on the west side that get you up on the mountain and one of those you have to go through Indian reservation. On the east side you have only Toms canyon which is a 4 wheel drive road. Middle canyon and Goshute canyon. Which are impossible to get up without 4 wheel drive. On the south east end you only have granite canyon that gets you up on the mountain. All other roads have been closed and end at the bottom of the mountain. Then you have private land, goshute Indian reservation, and the Nevada border. Also most hunters hunt the west side above Iapah that is where the elk are. The elk on this unit do not use the entire range they are only located in certain areas at certain times of the year. If this hunt passes it will result in over crowding. That same issue was addressed in the past and tag numbers were decreased and split among the Archery, early rifle, muzzleloader, mid rifle and late rifle. If the proposal passes you would have an increase in tag numbers for this hunt. Right now there are 25 total tags for this unit. Let's just say they give 40 permits. Now you have 90 percent of those hunters hunting the Iapah side of the mountain at the same time. And on top of that you will have rifle spike elk hunters, then general season deer hunters, then muzzleloader spike hunters and the late season muzzleloader deer hunt. If this happens there is not enough access or camping areas on that side of the mountain or frankly on the entire mountain. The elk on this unit are very smart. When they get pressured they all move west to Nevada or onto the Indian reservation. I have witnessed this first hand on many occasions before the tag numbers were decreases in 2013. The terrain and vegetation of this unit and the limited access make this unit very hard to get close to the elk to make a ethical shot especially with archery equipment or hams equipment. Your objective of an 8.5 year old bull harvest will likely decrease further due to this style of hunting. I know that the average age of harvested bulls is lower than the objective but it is not due to lack of old mature bulls. My family has killed a 14 yr old a 12 yr old a 9 yr old and a 8 yr old bull. The lower age of killed bulls is because most hunters kill the first bull that comes out of the reservation or that is down on the hay fields. The herd on this unit is doing great I have seen plenty of older class bulls this year. I average 20 days out there a year scouting and hunting this unit. If you want to try and hit an older age of bulls killed maybe give a few more multi season tags so that the hunter could pick over more bulls and harvest a true trophy elk. I know you won't get many comments on this unit. It is not a popular unit for elk. There is less than 250 people that put in for this unit every year but don't let that be a reason not to save this unit from being removed from the regular limited entry units. Thank you for your time. Please feel free to contact me by phone with other questions or more information.

Seth Rockwell

801-310-1872

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I have hunted my whole life and It is something that I am trying to pass along to my kids, I like the idea behind being able to buy a tag an going hunting it really sucks when you dont draw a tag, but more hunters in the field on already a hard hunt does make hunting more appealing to the youth. I dont know what the answer is but it is hard to try to pass along a hertiage of hunting when you go out and the forest is overgrazed from the livestock you tons of people and no game animals

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

I oppose discontinuing EB3012 nine mile anthro limited entry bull elk. I am a land owner/manager on the edge of the unit and oppose all reasoning for discontinuing this hunt. This hunt is only option for myself and other locals to hunt a limited entry bull elk. We have agriculture responsibilities that keep us close to home. I would be happy to answer any questions from a landowner/manager perspective.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

I also oppose the private land doe hunt in the Uintah Basin. Please allow landowners to help with the deer objectives. We absolutely dislike the push from doe hunters within this hunt. We do not want people in or on our land and can manage the deer population without giving out 100 2 deer permits.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

I also oppose the private land doe hunt in the Uintah Basin. Please allow landowners to help with the deer objectives. We absolutely dislike the push from doe hunters within this hunt. We do not want people in or on our land and can manage the deer population without giving out 100 2 deer permits.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

For the 2021 elk hunt changes specifically increases the number of general bull elk. It is already a mess out there with hunters why increase the tags and have someone possibly get hurt because they are a new hunter. It is already discouraging enough having so many hunters out there as it is.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:

I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Unlimited permits is a terrible plan.
Dont you think part of the high demand last year was the problems with the website? It reported the number in the waiting room incorrectly and cause undo panic.
Rather than sending so many people to the field with unlimited, implement a draw. This would allow hunters to at least hunt every other year without the overrun of hunters.
Not reporting success for the elk hunt seems to make it difficult to manage the objectives, sending unlimited hunters does not facilitate reasonable objectives.
Overlapping the any weapon Deer and Elk hunts puts too much stress on the wildlife.
The plan should be in increase the age rather than decreasing. The limited draw is fast becoming a once-in-a-lifetime. Please do not reduce the age objective on limited entry units.
You say the Elk hunt is, "The last over the counter big game opportunity". Allowing unlimited permits will ruin the Elk hunt like the Deer hunt/herd has been ruined and become a draw.
There is more disappointment in hunting with twice as many hunters as there is with a draw.
Please do NOT approve unlimited Elk Permits.

After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

I support change to make the hunt better and increase the buck / doe ratio. Reducing the objective counts does not seem to help the success percentage.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:

I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?

Appears Mostly private lands for the changes on CC

After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Not sure how reducing the objectives is the solution as the objectives have yet to be reached over the past period.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:

I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?

Would like to see work to develop the habitat to sustain the current objective rather than reducing the objectives numbers.

After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:

I support the recommendations.
